| 1 | ORDINANCE NO. | | |
|----|---|--|--|
| 2 | | | |
| 3 | AN ORDINANCE REPEALING ORDINANCE NO. 19,507 (MARCH 21, | | |
| 4 | 2006) TITLED THE RANCH TRACT D SHORT-FORM PCD AND | | |
| 5 | REVOKING A PLANNED ZONING DISTRICT; AND FOR OTHER | | |
| 6 | PURPOSES. | | |
| 7 | | | |
| 8 | WHEREAS, Ordinance No. 19,507 was passed on March 21, 2006, establishing a PZD, Planned | | |
| 9 | Zoning District, titled the Ranch Tract D Short-Form PCD; and, | | |
| 10 | WHEREAS, the shopping center approved for the Ranch Tract D Short-Form PCD is no longer a | | |
| 11 | viable project and the owner of the subject property has requested the repeal of the PZD ordinance and | | |
| 12 | restoration of the zoning classification as previously existed; and, | | |
| 13 | WHEREAS, the Little Rock Planning Commission reviewed the request for revocation for the Ranch | | |
| 14 | Tract D Short-Form PCD at their January 7, 2016, public hearing and voted 10 ayes, 0 nays and 1 abser | | |
| 15 | to forward the revocation request to the Little Rock Board of Directors for final action. | | |
| 16 | NOW, THEREFORE BE IT ORDAINED BY THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS OF THE CITY | | |
| 17 | OF LITTLE ROCK, ARKANSAS. | | |
| 18 | SECTION 1. That Ordinance No. 19,507 is hereby repealed, removing from the Official Zoning Map | | |
| 19 | the classification of PCD. | | |
| 20 | SECTION 2. That the underlying C-3, General Commercial District, zoning classification is restored | | |
| 21 | to the property described as: The Ranch Lot 3 Tract D, Little Rock, Pulaski County, Arkansas. | | |
| 22 | SECTION 3. That the map referred to in Chapter 36 of the Code of Ordinances of the City of Little | | |
| 23 | Rock, Arkansas, and designated district map be and is hereby amended to the extent and in the respects | | |
| 24 | necessary to affect and designate the change provided for in Sections 1 and 2 hereof. | | |
| 25 | SECTION 4. Severability. In the event any title, section, paragraph, item, sentence, clause, phrase, | | |
| 26 | or word of this ordinance is declared or adjudged to be invalid or unconstitutional, such declaration or | | |
| 27 | adjudication shall not affect the remaining portions of the ordinance which shall remain in full force and | | |
| 28 | effect as if the portion so declared or adjudged invalid or unconstitutional was not originally a part of the | | |
| 29 | ordinance. | | |
| 30 | SECTION 5. Repealer. All laws, ordinances, resolutions, or parts of the same that are inconsistent | | |
| 31 | with the provisions of this ordinance are hereby repealed to the extent of such inconsistency. | | |
| 32 | PASSED: February 2, 2016 | | |
| 33 | | | |

| 1 | ATTEST: | APPROVED: |
|--------|------------------------------------|---------------------|
| 2 | | |
| 3 | | |
| 4 | Susan Langley, City Clerk | Mark Stodola, Mayor |
| 5 | APPROVED AS TO LEGAL FORM: | |
| 6 | | |
| 7 8 | Thomas M. Carpenter, City Attorney | |
| 9 | // | |
| 10 | // | |
| 11 | // | |
| 12 | // | |
| 13 | // | |
| 14 | // | |
| 15 | // | |
| 16 | // | |
| 17 | // | |
| 18 | // | |
| 19 | // | |
| 20 | // | |
| 21 | // | |
| 22 | // | |
| 23 | // | |
| 24 | // | |
| 25 | // | |
| 26 | // | |
| 27 | // | |
| 28 | // | |
| 29 | // | |
| 30 | // | |
| 31 | // | |
| 32 | // | |
| 33 | // | |
| 34 | // | |